Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/826,093	SULLIVAN, JAMES G.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jong-Suk (James) Lee	3673
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Jong-Suk (James) Lee</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. Adrienne B. Naumann (Reg. No. 33,733)</u> . (4)		
Date of Interview: 23 June 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 10, 11 and 16-18 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
•		
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's attorney to present changes to above mentioned claims, especially to correct any formal/informal under 35 USC 112, 2nd. It was agreed that "aluminum 6063" or "aluminum 356-T sand casting" be changed to -- aluminum alloy -- or -- aluminum sand casting -- to avoid specific model or compounds named by industries in the body of the claims. Claims 1-21 are allowed (see examiner's amendment for the detail).